

Independent School District 2071

Lake Crystal Wellcome Memorial

STRATEGIC ROADMAP

(World's Best Workforce Plan)

Approved by the School Board on August 18, 2014
Revisions Approved on October 17, 2016

		Strategic	Roadmap		
Mission	Our Core Purpose with Distinction	Core Values			The Drivers of Our Words and Actions
		Respect	Honoring th	ne uniqueness of m	nyself and others in thought and action.
Educa	ating for Tomorrow's World	Responsibility	Taking own	ership for our indi	vidual and collective actions and decisions.
		Leadership	Influencing	others to achieve	a shared goal.
		Integrity	Doing the ri	ight thing—even w	when no one is watching.
Vision	What We Int	end To Create	Strategic D	Directions	The Focus of Our Resources and Projects
community, i	working in partnership with all families and some all a learning organization of engaged, moing lifelong learners and leaders.		A.	•	nt learning and develop leadership skills , career, and community readiness.
We demonstr • Each learn	rate this Vision when: learner thrives in a safe, equitable, and r ing environment that fosters confidence a sense of belonging while honoring diffe	, excellence,	В.		allum, instruction, assessments, professional and interventions into a clear process of all classrooms.
instru	learner receives high quality, personally uction enhanced by all members of the le		C.	, -	ucture, systems, and practice for excellence eaching, and learning.
	nunity. learner studies a challenging academic c	urriculum that	D.	Restructuring refacilities.	esources of time, money, people, and
enha	nces social, emotional, physical, and ethiopment.		E.	Purposeful eng	agement and partnership of parents, I communities to better meet identified

needs.

society.

• Each learner meets rigorous academic standards and is

prepared for future learning and success in a multicultural



Lake Crystal Wellcome Memorial

SCORECARDS

A. Improve student learning and leadership around college, career and community readiness

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
A1	MCA III – Math, State Accountability Tests incl. Mod, MTAS etc.	Less than 45% of all students assessed are proficient 2017 SEC 42%	45 - 55% of all students assessed are proficient 2015 SEC 47% 2016 SEC 45%	56 - 65% of all students assessed are proficient 2015 Dist 63% 2016 Dist 61% Dist 57%	66 - 78% of all students assessed are proficient 2015 2017 EL 76% El 77% 2016 EL 73%	78% + of all students assessed are proficient	2017 EL 77% SEC 42% Dist 57%
A2	MCA III – Reading, State Accountability Tests incl. Mod, MTAS etc.	Less than 61% of all students assessed are proficient 2015 SEC 56% 2016 SEC 52% SEC 50% Dist 59%	61 - 70% of all students assessed are proficient 2015 Dist 65% 2016 Dist 67%	71 - 80% of all students assessed are proficient 2015 2016 EL 72% EL 77% 2017 EL 71%	81 - 85% of all students assessed are proficient	85% + of all students assessed are proficient	2017 EL 71% SEC 50% Dist 59%
А3	MCA III – Science, State Accountability Tests incl. Mod, MTAS etc.	Less than 40% of all students assessed are proficient	40-45% of all students assessed are proficient 2016 SEC 45%	46-55% of all students assessed are proficient 2015 2016 SEC 49% Dist 51% 2017 SEC 46% Dist 52%	56-65% of all students assessed are proficient 2015 Dist 56% 2016 EL 61% EL 64%	65% + of all students assessed are proficient 2015 EL 69%	2017 EL 64% SEC 46% Dist 52%

A. Improve student learning and leadership around college, career and community readiness

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
A4	Classroom Assessment of Learning Summative	Less than 50% of material mastered by students assessed	50 - 69% of material mastered by students assessed	70 - 84% of material mastered by students assessed 2014/15 EL 83% SEC 79% Dist 81% 2015/16 EL 84% SEC 81% SEC 81% Dist 83% Dist 83%	85 - 89% of material mastered by students assessed	Greater than 90% of material mastered by students assessed	2016/17 EL 84% SEC 81% Dist 83%
A5	NWEA Growth as Measured from Fall to Spring in Math (EL) Spring to Spring in Math (SEC) *Grade 6 included in SEC beginning 2016-17	Less than 50% of the student group met the established growth target 2015/16 SEC 48% 2016/17 SEC 48%	50 - 64% of the student group met the established growth target 2014/15 SEC 54% 2016/17 EL 57%	65 - 79% of the student group met the established growth target 2015/16 EL 73%	80 - 89% of the student group met the established growth target 2014/15 EL 81%	90% of the student group met the established growth target	2016/17 EL 57% SEC 48%
A6	NWEA Growth as Measured from Fall to Spring in Reading (EL) Spring to Spring in Reading (SEC) *Grade 6 included in SEC beginning 2016-17	Less than 50% of the student group met the established growth target	50 - 64% of the student group met the established growth target 2014/15 2015/16 SEC 51% SEC 54% 2016/17 EL 62% SEC 60%	65 - 79% of the student group met the established growth target 2014/15 EL 70% 2015/16 EL 76%	80 - 89% of the student group met the established growth target	90% of the student group met the established growth target	2016/17 EL 62% SEC 60%

A. Improve student learning and leadership around college, career and community readiness

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
A7	*New FAST Assessment Composite used in 2016	Less than 35% of the student group met the established growth target.	35 – 49% of the student group met the established growth target.	50-64% of the student group met the established growth target. 2014/15 Fall 54% Winter 64% 2015/16 *56% 2016/17 Spring 58%	65-79% of the student group met the established growth target. 2014/15 Spring 66%	80% of the student group met the established growth target.	2016/17 Spring 58%
A8	*Unofficial graduate rate based on 68/72 graduating with their class.	70-75% of the students graduate with their class	75-80% of the students graduate with their class	80-85% of the students graduate with their class	85-90% of the students graduate with their class 2014/15 89%	Greater than 90% of the students graduate with their class 2015/16 94% 2016/17 93%	2016/17 93% (65 students)
\9	Career and College Readiness	Career and College Readiness predictor based on % proficient on 8 th Gr. MCA III Math test: 30-39%	Career and College Readiness predictor based on % proficient on 8th Gr. MCA III Math test: 40-49% 2016/17 48%	Career and College Readiness predictor based on % proficient on 8th Gr. MCA III Math test: 50-59% 2014/15 53% 2015/16 55%	Career and College Readiness predictor based on % proficient on 8th Gr. MCA III Math test: 60-69%	Career and College Readiness predictor based on % proficient on 8th Gr. MCA III Math test: greater than 69%	2016/17 48%
		•	,		Visi	onCard Score	SEC 21/2.6 EL 22/3.1 Dist 10/2.5

B. Aligning curriculum, instruction, assessments, professional development and interventions

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
B1	Curriculum Review Cycle Development of Aligned K-12 Curriculum, Across All Disciplines	Less than 40% of all K-12 curriculum aligned	40 - 49% of all K- 12 curriculum aligned	50 - 59% of all K- 12 curriculum aligned	60 - 69% of all K-12 curriculum aligned 2014/15 69%	Greater than 69% of all K-12 curriculum aligned 2015/16 tbd	2015/16 Tbd
B2	Development of Common Assessments Across the District and Curricular Areas	Less than 40% of all K-12 Common Assessments aligned	40 - 49% of all K- 12 Common Assessments aligned	50 - 59% of all K- 12 Common Assessments aligned	60 - 69% of all K-12 Common Assessments aligned 2014/15 60%	Greater than 70% of all K-12 Common Assessments aligned 2015/16 tbd	2015/16 tbd
В3	Technology Standards (skill development and application) Coordinated Across the Curriculum	K-12 scope and sequence of technology standards beginning to be developed	K-12 scope and sequence of technology standards completed	50% of standards embedded and implemented	51 - 75% of standards embedded and implemented	76 - 100% of standards embedded and implemented	2015/16 Tbd
B4	Alignment of Professional Development	Less than 55% of Professional Dev. aligned with Strategic Plan	55%-64% of Professional Dev. aligned with Strategic Plan	65%-74% of Professional Dev. aligned with Strategic Plan	75%-84% of Professional Dev. aligned with Strategic Plan	< 84% of Professional Dev. Aligned with Strategic Plan 2014/15 85%	<u>2015/16</u> Tbd

Measures	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Score
	Intervene	Concern	Baseline	Progress	Vision	
	(1.0 - 1.9)	(2.0 – 2.9)	(3.0 - 3.9)	(4.0 – 4.9)	(5.0 +)	

C. Developing Structure, Systems and Practice for Excellence in Leadership, Teaching and Learning

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
C1	Develop Effective Staff Members Using the Marzano Framework and iObservation	Less than 70% of teachers at Applying in at least 2 elements	Greater than 70 % of teachers at Applying in at least 2 or more elements	Greater than 70 % of teachers at Applying in at least 3 or more elements 2014/15 <70%	Greater than 70% of teachers at Applying in at least 4 or more elements	Greater than 70% of teachers at Applying in at least 5 or more elements	2015/16 Tbd
C2	Equip Staff to Integrate Technology	Staff receives less than 5 hours of technology and pedagogy training.	Staff receives 5-9 hours of technology and pedagogy training.	Staff 10-14 hours of technology and pedagogy training. 2012/13 6 hours 2014/15 10 hours	Staff receives 15- 19 hours of technology and pedagogy training.	Staff receive 20 or greater hours of technology and pedagogy training.	2015/16 10 hours

B. Aligning curriculum, instruction, assessments, professional development and interventions

	Measures		Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Pr	evel 4 ogress 0 – 4.9)	Vis	el 5 ion O +)	Score	
C3	At-Risk Student Interventions	R	ess than 50% of At- isk students receive iterventions	Greater than 60% of At-Risk students receive interventions	Greater than 70% of Risk students recei interventions 2014/15 < 70% 2015/16 < 70%		Greater th of At-Risk s receive intervention	students	of At-Ris	receive	2015/16 <70%
C4	PLC Operational Effectiveness as Measured on a PLC Survey	PI	ot all dimensions on LC Assessment are cored at a 5 or higher	All dimensions on PLC Assessment are scored at a 6 or higher	All dimensions on Assessment are so at a 7 or higher 2010/11 2014/ Elem – 7 Elem Sec – 7 Sec – 3	cored /15 - 8	All dimens PLC Assess are scored or higher	ment	All dime PLC Asso are scor or highe	ed at a 9	2015/16 Elem - tbd Sec – tbd

C5	Leadership Development for	Some governance leadership	All governance	All governance leadership	All governance	All governance	
	•	engaged in less than 2 hours	leadership engaged in	engaged in at least 4	leadership engaged	leadership engaged	
	School Board	of annual development linked	at least 2 hours of	hours of annual	in at least 6 hours of	in at least 10 hours	
		to Strategic Roadmap and	annual development	development linked to	annual development	of annual	
		Success System	linked to Strategic	Strategic Roadmap and	linked to Strategic	development linked	2015/16
			Roadmap and Success	Success System	Roadmap and	to Strategic	4 hours
			System	2014/15	Success System	Roadmap and	
				5 hours		Success System	
				<u>2015/16</u>			
				4 hours			

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
C6	Leadership Development for Administration	Some administrative leadership collaboratively engaged in less than 4 hours of annual development linked to Strategic Roadmap and Success System	All administrative leadership collaboratively engaged in at least 4 hours of annual development linked to Strategic Roadmap and Success System	All administrative leadership collaboratively engaged at least 8 hours of annual development linked to Strategic Roadmap and Success System 2014/15 8 hours 2015/16 8 hours	All administrative leadership collaboratively engaged in at least 12 hours of annual development linked to Strategic Roadmap and Success System	All administrative leadership collaboratively engaged in at least 20 hours of annual development linked to Strategic Roadmap and Success System	2015/16 8 hours
С7	Working Committees are Aligned w/Strategic Roadmap	Less than 40% of working committees projects and use of time are aligned	40 - 49% of working committees projects and use of time are aligned	50 - 59% of working committees projects and use of time are aligned 2014/15 50% 2015/16 50%	60 - 69% of working committees projects and use of time are aligned	Greater than 69% of working committees projects and use of time are aligned	2015/16 50%
	1	1	ı	1	Vision	Card Score	

D. Restructuring Resources of Time, Money, People and Facilities

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
D1	Budget Alignment	Less than 50% of funds aligned to student learning	Greater than = 50% and less than 60% of funds aligned to student learning	Greater than = 60% and less than 65% of funds aligned to student learning. 2014/15 65%	Greater than = 65% and less than = 70% of funds aligned to student learning	Greater than 70% of funds aligned to student learning	2015/16 Tbd
D2	District Referenda Revenue Compared to Other Districts Our Size	Revenue is below 70% of average for selected schools	Revenue is between 70-74% of average for selected schools	Revenue is between 75-79% of average for selected schools 2014/15 75%	Revenue is between 80-85% of average for selected schools	Revenue is above 85% of average for selected schools	2015/16 Tbd
D3	Variation of Actual Expenditures vs. Budget Expenditures in General Fund	Greater than 6% variation from established budgets: all schools and departments	+/- 5-6% variation from established budgets: all schools and departments	+/- 3-4% variation from established budgets: all schools and departments 2014/15 4%	+/- 2-3% variation from established budgets: all schools and departments	+/- 1-2% variation from established budgets: all schools and departments	2015/16 Tbd

D. Restructuring Resources of Time, Money, People and Facilities

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
D4	Adequacy of Space and Facilities	Actual enrollment in all schools is more than +/- 16% of functional capacity	Actual enrollment in all schools is within +/- 15% of functional capacity	Actual enrollment in all schools is within +/- 10% of functional capacity	Actual enrollment in all schools is within +/- 6% of functional capacity 2014/15 6% 2015/16 6%	Actual enrollment in all schools is within +/- 3% of functional capacity	<u>2015/16</u> 6%
D5	Quality Maintenance of Facilities and Grounds	Less than 60% of those surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied with cleanliness 2014/15 tbd	60 - 69 % of buildings and grounds operations and maintenance meet internal standards	70 - 79 % of buildings and grounds operations and maintenance meet internal standards	80 - 90 % of buildings and grounds operations and maintenance meet internal standards	90 + % of buildings and grounds operations and maintenance meet internal standards	2015/16 Tbd
D6	Maintenance of Fund Balance	15 days	15 - 25 days	25 - 35 days	35 - 45 days	45 days 2014/15 45+ days 2015/16 45+ days	2015/16 45+ days

E. Purposeful engagement and partnership

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score
E1	% of Resident Students Enrolled in the District (Overall)	Less than 70% of in- boundary students attending	70-74 % of in- boundary students attending 2014/15 74%	75-79% of in- boundary students attending	80 - 84 % of in- boundary students attending	Greater than 84% of in-boundary students attending	2015/16 Tbd
E2	Percentage of parents who feel informed about their child's progress in school and have seen evidence of their child's learning	Less than 50% surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	50 - 54% surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	55 - 59% surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	60 - 64% surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	65% + surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	2015/16 Tbd
E3	Percentage of public that trust that the school district utilizes financial resources effectively.	Less than 50% surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	50 - 54% surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	55 - 59% surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	60 - 64% surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	65% + surveyed are satisfied to highly satisfied	2015/16 Tbd

D. Restructuring Resources of Time, Money, People and Facilities

	Measures	Level 1 Intervene (1.0 – 1.9)	Level 2 Concern (2.0 – 2.9)	Level 3 Baseline (3.0 – 3.9)	Level 4 Progress (4.0 – 4.9)	Level 5 Vision (5.0 +)	Score	
E4	Student Utilization of Technology in Learning Opportunities	Less than 75% of students surveyed 7-12 report utilizing technology weekly in school-based and other learning opportunities	75 - 79% of students surveyed 7-12 report utilizing technology weekly in school-based and other learning opportunities	80 - 84% of students surveyed 7-12 report utilizing technology weekly in school-based and other learning opportunities	85 - 89% of students surveyed 7-12 report utilizing technology weekly in school-based and other learning opportunities	90% or more of students surveyed 7- 12 report utilizing technology weekly in school-based and other learning opportunities	2015/16 Tbd	

E5	Parent attendance school conferences for grades 7-12. *590 p/t conferences were held at secondary. This is a duplicated count. Need to get unduplicated count to get overall percentage.	Less than 40% attendance	40 - 59% attendance	60 - 69% attendance	60 - 79% attendance	80+% attendance	2015/16 tbd	
E6	Percentage of families and children attending Preschool and ECFE programming.	> 50% of in boundary families and children attend Preschool and > 30% attend ECFE	50 - 59% of in boundary families and children attend Preschool and 30- 35% attend ECFE	60 - 69% of in boundary families and children attend Preschool and 35-37% attend ECFE	70 - 79% of in boundary families and children attend Preschool and 38-40% attend ECFE	Greater than 80% of in boundary families and children attend Preschool and < 40% attend ECFE	2015/16 Pre – tbd ECFE – tbd	

E7	Superintendent and School Board Engagement in the Community	Less than 40% involved in organizations or service clubs	40 - 49% involved in organizations or service clubs	50 - 60% involved in organizations or service clubs	61 - 80% involved in organizations or service clubs	More than 80% involved in organizations or service clubs	2015/16 Tbd
E8	Community Education Enrollments as compared to overall populations	Community Education participation will be 13% of the District- wide population.	Community Education participation will be 14% of the District- wide population.	Community Education participation will be 15% of the District-wide population.	Community Education participation will be 16% of the District-wide population.	Community Education participation will be 17% of the District- wide population.	2015/16 Tbd
		1	1	ı	\	/isionCard Score	



Lake Crystal Wellcome Memorial

IMPROVEMENT PLAN

	District Improver		
Strategic Directive Strategic Direction A: Improve Student Learning and Leadership around College , Career and Community Readiness	Learning Work A1 Increase percentages on Strategic Initiatives A1-9 to address WBWF measures A2 PLC Leaders and teachers trained on data warehouse system to set building, PLC and individual student goals	Implementation Work A1 Ensure that Strategic Initiative A1-9 data are understood by students A2 Principals and PLC leaders trained on data warehouse system	Standard Work A1 Ensure that Strategic Direction A1-9 data are understood and being implemented by principals and teachers A2 Using data warehouse system with principals & PLC teams to determine building and PLC goals
Strategic Direction B: Aligning Curriculum, Instruction, Assessments, Professional Development and Interventions	B1 District curriculum aligned (PK-12) to address WBWF measures B4 Secondary staff to increase number of courses loaded onto schoology from a minimum of one to two. B3 Identify PK-12 map of technology outcome instruction	B1 Reading Curriculum alignment (PK-Grade 12) B4 Implement secondary staff training on course management system (schoology) B4 Implement training on Bullying Prohibition to staff that regularly interact with students B3 Implement K-12 map of technology instruction B4 Develop exemplary professional development application process	B1 Update and revise curriculum and review cycle through input from PLC teams B1 Curriculum alignment (PK-Grade 12) B4 RTI- Elementary Staff B4 Align professional development directly to Strategic Road Map through building and district goals
Strategic Direction C: Developing Structure, Systems, and Practice for Excellence in Leadership, Teaching and Learning.	C4 Align PLC goals with WBWF Plan and Strategic Goals	C4 Align PLC goals with Strategic Road Map, site and district goals	C5 Ensure understanding of approach ELS for aligning school board, administration, staff, parents and the public to increase learning for all students C1 teacher evaluation process C6 principal evaluation process

Strategic Directive	Learning Work	Implementation Work	Standard Work
Strategic Direction D: Restructuring resources of time, money, people and facilities	D2 1:1 iPads (stay in classroom) in grade 6 add chrome book mobile cart for PK-5 D1 Identify energy savings measures and utilize Long Term Maintenance funds to make energy savings improvements which ultimately will provide more funds for classroom. D5 Provide training and monitoring on operations and usage of new building systems and equipment.	D2 1:1 iPads (stay in classroom) in grade 6 And chrome book mobile cart for PK-5	D2 Continue to implement 1:1 iPads in grades 7-12 and mobile iPad technology in grades PK-5 D2 Review Digital Technology Usage Family Handbook and Insurance coverage guidelines for 2016-17 technology implementation
Strategic Direction E: Purposeful engagement and partnership of parents, families, and all communities to better meet identified needs.	E2 Survey parents to determine percentage who feel informed about their child's progress in school.	E7 Communicate regularly with key communicators throughout the district about the project	E7 Continue Working with K/A, Healy Bender and ISG to finalize and closeout project complete with warranties and operating manuals and complete information